

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,009	06/26/2001	Satchidanand Mishra	D/99021D	2624
27885	7590 09/26/2005	•	EXAMINER	
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP			NGUYEN, THUKHANH T	
1100 SUPERI CLEVELANI	OR AVENUE, SEVENT D. OH 44114	TH FLOOR	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1722	

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/892,009	MISHRA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thu Khanh T. Nguyen	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS atute, cause the application to become ABANI	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this community DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	6 June 2005					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		prosecution as to the me	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	• •				
Disposition of Claims	•		ļ			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13,15-20 and 22-27</u> is/are pend	4) Claim(s) 10-13,15-20 and 22-27 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13,15-20 and 22-27</u> is/are reject	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-13,15-20 and 22-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum		. •			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			
. apor res(o), man Dato	٠, ٢, ٥, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١,					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 10, 13, 15-16, and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nelson (5,087,495).

Nelson teaches an apparatus for transferring xerographic images, comprising a pair of pressure elements (Figs. 4-8, 71, 72) with a lower support member having a smooth upper surface (72) and an upper heatable strip (35, 38) having a smooth surface (Fig. 2), a pair of rotatable compression wheel (71, 75) having a Teflon surface parallel to the surface of the lower support member (col. 5, lines 66-68), wherein the lower support member and the upper heatable strip are directly contact the flexible imaging transfer sheet (Figs. 4-5).

In regard to claim 13, wherein the heatable strip is an adhesive layer, which is a plastic, and electrically resistive material.

In regard to claims 15-16, 26 and 27, Nelson teaches that the heatable member is a rotatable compression wheel (71) made aluminum and having a Teflon coating, wherein the rollers are movable toward and away from each other (in the direction shown by an arrow in Figs. 4-8).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,009 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11-12, 17-20, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (5,087,495).

Nelson discloses an image transferring apparatus as described above, but fails to disclose that the strip has a width of between 6mm and 30mm.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to modify Nelson by providing the strip having appropriate dimension depending on the type, and size of the imaging substrate (belt) and the pressing force, and pressing temperature, in order to produce a rigid seam region between different layers of the imaging belt. In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

In regard to claims 17-19, Nelson discloses that the heatable member (35, 71) made of an adhesive tape, or aluminum, or silicone rubber with a resilient material formed at the contact area

Art Unit: 1722

(col. 5, lines 39-43, line 65 to col. 6, lines 2), wherein the adhesives tape and the resilient material on the surface of the heating member are plastic and electrically resistive material.

In regard to claim 20, Nelson discloses that the roller is heated from about 200°F to 375°F (col. 6, lines 2-6), which inherently is above the glass transition temperature and below the melting temperature of some of the polymer material being used.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-13, 15-20 and 22-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Khanh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1136. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday, 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIM HEITBRINK

PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 130

9-19-05

TN